

**Problem 2A,9**

Prove that  $|A| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap (-t, t)|$  for all  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof:* By 2.5 we know that the limit exists and  $|A| \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap (-t, t)|$ . Now we prove the converse direction. First note that

$$|A \cap (-t, t)| = |A \cap (-t, t]|,$$

then we have

$$|A| = \left| \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} A \cap (k, k+1] \right| \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |A \cap (k, k+1]| = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=-N}^{+N} |A \cap (k, k+1]| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap (-N, N+1]|$$

Using

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap (-N, N+1]| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |A \cap (-t, t)|$$

then we finish the proof.

**Problem 2A,10**

Prove that  $|[0, 1] - \mathbb{Q}| = 1$ .

*Proof:* Note that  $|[0, 1]| = 1, |\mathbb{Q}| = 0$  by 2.4 and 2.14. Obviously  $|[0, 1] - \mathbb{Q}| \leq 1$ , and on the other hand,

$$1 = |[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{Q} \cup ([0, 1] - \mathbb{Q})| \leq |\mathbb{Q}| + |[0, 1] - \mathbb{Q}| = |[0, 1] - \mathbb{Q}|$$

this completes the proof.

**Problem 2B,14**

a. Suppose  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  is a sequence of functions from a set  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Explain why

$$\{x \in X : \text{the sequence } f_1, f_2, \dots \text{ has a limit}\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} (f_j - f_k)^{-1}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

b. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  is a measurable space and  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  is a sequence of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that

$$\{x \in X : \text{the sequence } f_1, f_2, \dots \text{ has a limit}\}$$

is an  $\mathcal{S}$  measurable set.

*Proof:* For a, we just recall that if  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  has a limit at  $x$ , then for any positive integer  $n$ , there exists  $N = N(n)$  such that for any  $j, k > N$ , we have  $|f_j(x) - f_k(x)| < \frac{1}{n}$ . This is exactly the conclusion of a.

For b, just note that the set in the right hand of a is an  $\mathcal{S}$  measurable set.

**Problem 2B,25**

Suppose  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing function. Prove that there exists a sequence of functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  of strictly increasing functions from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $x \in B$ ,

$$f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x).$$

*Proof:*  $f_k(x) = f(x) + \frac{x}{k}$  satisfies the conclusion.

**Problem 2C,1**

Explain why there does not exist a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  with the property that  $\{\mu(E) : E \in \mathcal{S}\} = [0, 1]$

*Proof:* Otherwise for such  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ , take  $E_i \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mu(E_i) = 1 - \frac{1}{i}$ . We know that  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  is also measurable but  $|E| \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_j) \geq 1$ . This is a contradiction with our definition, which completes the proof.

**Problem 2C,2**

Suppose  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, 2^{\mathbb{Z}^+})$ . Prove that there is a sequence  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$  in  $[0, \infty]$  such that for every set  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{k \in E} \omega_k$$

*Proof:* Just let  $\omega_k = \mu(\{k\})$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Problem 2D,5**

Prove that if  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue measurable, then there exists an increasing sequence  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$  of closed sets contained in  $A$  such that

$$|A - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k| = 0$$

*Proof:* By 2.71, we know that for any  $k$ , there exists a closed set  $E_k \subset A$  such that  $|A - E_k| < \frac{1}{k}$ . Now take  $F_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^k E_j$ . These  $F_k$  satisfy all the condition, which is easy to check.

**Problem 2D,12**

Suppose  $b < c$  and  $A \subset (b, c)$ . Prove that  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable if and only if

$$|A| + |(b, c) - A| = c - b$$

*Proof:* The "only if" part is obvious. Conversely, from the definition of outer measure we know that there exists a Borel set  $B \subset (b, c)$  containing  $A$  such that  $|B| = |A|$ . And we know that

$$|B| + |(b, c) - B| = c - b$$

Note that  $B$  is Lebesgue measurable imply

$$|(b, c) - A| = |((b, c) - A) \cap ((b, c) - B)| + |((b, c) - A) \cap B| = |B - A| + |(b, c) - B|$$

so we get that

$$|B - A| = |(b, c) - A| - |(b, c) - B| = |B| - |A| = 0$$

which imply  $B - A$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $A = B - (B - A)$  is also Lebesgue measurable.

**Problem 2D,13**

Suppose  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable if and only if for every positive integer  $n$ ,

$$|(-n, n) \cap A| + |(-n, n) - A| = 2n$$

*Proof:* If  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable, then by 2.70 there exists a Borel set  $B \subset A$  such that  $|A - B| = 0$ . Now by 2.66,

$$|(-n, n) \cap B| + |(-n, n) - B| = 2n$$

Combining with  $|A - B| = 0$ , we get that

$$|(-n, n) \cap B| + |(-n, n) - B| = 2n$$

Conversely, suppose that

$$|(-n, n) \cap A| + |(-n, n) - A| = 2n$$

for any positive integers  $n$ . Using Problem 2D,12 we know that  $A \cap (-n, n)$  is Lebesgue measurable. Then  $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B \cap (-n, n)$  is also Lebesgue measurable. This completes the proof.